SecureBlackSea

POLICY BRIEF NO: 4

Geopolitical Dynamics Impacting Energy Security

Shahmar Hajiyev

11.08.2025

This policy brief is produced for the "Energy Security in the Black Sea Region" webinar on 20 June 2025 as part of the "SecureBlackSea" project, supported by the NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme.

GEOPOLITICAL DYNAMICS IMPACTING ENERGY SECURITY

Shahmar Hajiyev

Summary

Energy security has become a frontline issue in international politics, blending economic, military, and environmental dimensions. The Russia-Ukraine war illustrates how conflict can accelerate both innovation and fragmentation in energy systems. The Black Sea region has increasingly gained prominence as a critical area for Western strategic interests. Key energy and connectivity projects have elevated its geopolitical importance, positioning it as a vital gateway linking Europe, the South Caucasus, Central Asia, and the Mediterranean. In this context, ensuring stability and promoting enhanced cooperation in the region are essential for broader inter-regional collaboration. Current situation in the region continues to reshape the security architecture of the region, leading to the emergence of a new strategic framework.

Introduction

Energy has evolved from a strategic commodity into a powerful instrument of political warfare, fundamentally reshaping the architecture of international security. In today's geopolitical landscape, energy infrastructure is no longer merely economic—it is militarized, contested, and often targeted. Export flows have become tools of coercion, and sanctions

regimes can restructure global trade relationships overnight. This transformation is driven by three converging trends: the increasing sophistication of hybrid warfare tactics targeting critical infrastructure; the growing readiness of states to weaponize economic interdependence; and the accelerating global energy transition, which is disrupting traditional power hierarchies. Together, these forces are creating a more volatile and fragmented global energy order, demanding new strategic frameworks that align energy policy with defense planning, diplomatic engagement, and economic statecraft.

This analysis examines these interconnected dynamics through a comprehensive analysis of how conflicts and crises impact energy security, with particular focus on the Russia-Ukraine war as a transformative catalyst. It explores how geopolitical tensions have accelerated energy transition

initiatives, reshaped regional cooperation patterns, and created new forms of strategic interdependence. The analysis reveals that while the immediate crisis has tested the resilience of existing energy systems, it has also demonstrated the potential for rapid adaptation and the strategic value of diversified, sustainable energy infrastructure.

The transformation is driven by four converging trends:

- The increasing sophistication of hybrid warfare tactics targeting critical infrastructure;
- Lack of united energy security policy and strategy in the Black Sea region and traditional dependence on few energy suppliers;
- The growing readiness of states to weaponize economic interdependence; and
- The accelerating global energy transition, which is disrupting traditional power hierarchies.

Energy as a Geopolitical Tool

Energy has evolved far beyond its traditional role as an economic resource. In today's world, it has become one of the most powerful tools for political influence between nations. The control, manipulation, and strategic deployment of energy resources, such as oil, natural gas, coal, and increasingly renewable energy technologies, serve as crucial levers of state power, capable of reshaping international alliances, economic dependencies, and regional security architectures. The phenomenon often described as the "weaponization of energy" represents a form of coercive diplomacy that operates below the threshold of direct military confrontation, yet possesses the potential to inflict considerable economic and political harm on targeted states. This dynamic has become increasingly pronounced as global energy interdependence has intensified, simultaneously generating new opportunities for geopolitical leverage and exposing states to heightened vulnerabilities that may be exploited by others.

The modern understanding of energy as a geopolitical tool emerged prominently during the 1973 oil crisis, when OPEC member states imposed an oil embargo against nations supporting Israel in the Yom Kippur War.[1] This action demonstrated how energy producers could leverage their resources to achieve political objectives, causing severe economic disruption in target countries and fundamentally altering the global balance of power. The 1979 Iranian Revolution and subsequent Iran-Iraq War further illustrated energy's geopolitical significance, as supply disruptions sent shockwaves through global markets and influenced international diplomatic positions.[2] These events established the precedent for energy being used as both a weapon and a shield in international relations.

Similarly, the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), under Saudi Arabia’s leadership, continues to exert substantial control over global oil markets. OPEC’s ability to adjust production levels among member states enables it to influence global oil prices, which in turn affect inflation, economic growth, and geopolitical stability worldwide. Recent OPEC+ decisions to adjust production, such as the announced increase of 411,000

barrels per day in May 2025, demonstrate the cartel's ongoing role in managing supply to influence market conditions.[3] For instance, production cuts or increases can trigger price volatility, impacting everything from transportation costs to industrial output. Notably, Saudi Arabia's periodic decisions to increase or decrease oil production often reflect broader geopolitical calculations rather than purely economic considerations. The 2014-2016 oil price collapse, partly attributed to Saudi Arabia's decision to maintain production levels despite oversupply, demonstrated how price manipulation could be used to pressure rival producers like Russia and Iran while simultaneously damaging the emerging U.S. shale oil industry.[4]

The transition from oil to natural gas as a primary energy weapon became particularly evident in Russia's energy disputes with Ukraine and other European nations. The 2006 and 2009 gas crises, where Russia temporarily cut off supplies to Ukraine, demonstrated how pipeline dependencies could be exploited for political leverage.[5] These incidents marked the beginning of what analysts termed "gas wars," where energy infrastructure became a battlefield for geopolitical influence. Russia's relationship with Europe represents perhaps the most complex and consequential example of energy geopolitics in action.

The Impact of Conflicts and Crises: the Russia-Ukraine War

The armed conflict between Russia and Ukraine, which began in 2014 and entered a full-scale phase in February 2022, has become one of the most striking and disturbing examples of how geopolitical conflicts can destabilize the global and regional energy system. This geopolitical crisis has also exposed critical vulnerabilities in Europe's energy infrastructure while simultaneously catalyzing unprecedented diversification efforts and renewable energy investments.

Before the conflict, Russia was the dominant supplier of natural gas to Europe, accounting for around 40% of the EU's gas imports through an extensive network of pipelines.[6] In 2021, Russia delivered 155 billion cubic meters (bcm)[7] of gas to the EU and exported oil and petroleum products worth approximately $96.5 billion.[8] Even during the early years of the war, Russian gas continued to flow, albeit in reduced volumes, through Ukraine under an existing transit agreement. The expiration of this transit deal on January 1, 2025, marked a watershed moment in Europe's energy landscape.[9] Russian gas exports via Soviet-era pipelines through Ukraine came to a complete halt, effectively ending decades of Moscow's energy dominance.

The escalation of energy sanctions against the Russian Federation became a critical factor in European energy security transformation, evolving from

limited measures introduced after the 2014 Crimea annexation to comprehensive restrictions following the February 2022 invasion. Initially, until 2022, energy sanctions remained limited as Europe maintained heavy dependence on Russian energy resources, particularly gas, with EU countries attempting to balance political pressure on Moscow with their own energy security needs.[10] In January 2025, the United States imposed its most severe sanctions yet, officially designating Russia's energy sector as "sanctioned" and blacklisting key firms like Gazprom Neft and Surgutneftegaz.[11] The EU extended its own economic sanctions until July 31, 2025, including a ban on oil services to Russian companies effective February of that year.[12]

Despite these measures, Russia adapted quickly. By 2023, it had become China's largest oil supplier, accounting for half of Chinese oil imports at over 107 million tons.[13] Oil exports to India doubled to around 90 million tons, capturing 40% of the Indian market.[14] Surprisingly, despite tough rhetoric, Russian LNG shipments to France hit a record 5.34 million tons in 2024, and over half of Russia's LNG exports, approximately 33.6 million tons, still flowed into European markets.[15]

Faced with the urgent need to replace Russian pipeline gas, Europe moved decisively to expand LNG import capacity. Over 50 bcm/year of new capacity was added, primarily through Floating Storage Regasification Units (FSRUs) in countries such as the Netherlands, Italy, Finland, Greece, and Germany. Between 2023 and 2024, LNG import capacity grew by 70 bcm, with another 60 bcm expected by 2030.[16] This transformation represents a fundamental shift in Europe's energy infrastructure, though it has come with high investment costs and concerns about potential overcapacity.

Despite infrastructure investments, Europe has also demonstrated remarkable demand flexibility. Between 2021 and 2024, EU countries reduced their combined imports of gas and LNG by 18% thanks to a 20% decrease in gas consumption.[17] This demand destruction reflects both economic responses to higher prices and policy-driven conservation measures implemented across member states.

The crisis has served as a powerful catalyst for renewable energy deployment across Europe. Faced with energy security imperatives and price volatility in fossil fuel markets, European nations have dramatically increased investments in wind, solar, and other renewable technologies. The REPowerEU plan, launched in response to the crisis, aims to eliminate EU dependence on Russian fossil fuels by 2030 while accelerating the clean energy transition.[18] In March 2024, the Council adopted a recommendation to continue taking voluntary measures until March 2025 to maintain a collective 15% gas demand reduction, compared to the average demand between April 2017 and March 2022.[19] These measures have proven effective in reducing overall energy consumption while providing space for renewable capacity additions. Despite this progress, critical vulnerabilities persist. Sanctions loopholes still allow Russian energy to reach European markets through intermediaries and complex trading schemes. Moreover, rapid LNG expansion has outpaced improvements in internal pipeline interconnections, creating regional imbalances. Countries

in Southern and Eastern Europe remain particularly exposed due to limited infrastructure and fewer alternative supply routes.

Basically, the Russia-Ukraine war has reshaped the European energy security landscape. While diversification, demand reduction, and infrastructure expansion have helped Europe weather the immediate shock, the transition has revealed long-standing structural weaknesses. The crisis has reinforced the strategic case for renewable energy and energy efficiency not just for environmental reasons but as cornerstones of energy sovereignty and resilience. Yet the transition period is fraught with risks, and securing a reliable, affordable, and geopolitically stable energy future will require sustained coordination, investment, and strategic foresight.

Azerbaijan as a Reliable Energy Partner for Europe

Amid the ongoing war in Ukraine, traditional energy map of the region had been critically changed. The Balkans and Black Sea region are two important regions which face energy security challenges and need urgent energy diversification. In this, context, Azerbaijan established effective energy cooperation with Georgia, Türkiye, Romania, Bulgaria etc. Azerbaijan – EU opened a new page for strategic partnership by signing important documents which support not only the export of fossil fuels but also renewable energy from the region to Europe. The "Memorandum of Understanding on a Strategic Partnership in the Field of Energy" (MoU) signed on July 18, 2022, opened up new opportunities for both sides. Azerbaijan will increase natural gas export to Europe via TAP and reach at least 20 bcm per year by 2027.[20] Another important opportunity is export of green energy to Europe. By this, the country will support the REPowerEU plan, which is based on three pillars: saving energy, producing clean energy and diversifying the EU's energy supplies.

The Southern Gas Corridor is significant energy infrastructure projects, which open new opportunities not only for Azerbaijan, but also for the Caspian region to export natural gas to the European energy markets. The European leg of SGC – Trans Adriatic Pipeline (TAP) starts at the Turkish-Greek border at Kipoi, connecting Greece, Albania and ending in the final destination in the southern part of Italy. The TAP project became a reality due to the cooperation of all involved actors. From a geopolitical standpoint, TAP is the first pipeline supplying Caspian gas to Europe. Taking into account the volatility in oil markets, it was highly important for the country to be a net gas exporter.[21] TAP demonstrated cooperation and integration between Azerbaijan, Türkiye, Georgia and European countries.

For Europe, first of all, TAP pipeline is a diversification of gas sources and supply routes. Azerbaijani gas supplies will reach South Eastern, Central and Western Europe, and those regions have high gas dependence on a few suppliers. Last year, Azerbaijan exported 12.9 bcm of gas to Europe via TAP. In general, the countries such as Italy, Türkiye, Georgia, Slovakia, Greece, Bulgaria, Romania, Hungary, Serbia, Croatia, Slovenia, and North Macedonia are buyers of Azerbaijani gas. Germany recently has joined the list through a 10-

year contract between SOCAR and Securing Energy for Europe (SEFE).

As Europe races to decarbonize its energy system and sever its dependence on Russian fossil fuels, a transformative infrastructure project is quietly taking shape beneath the waves of the Black Sea. The Black Sea Submarine Cable (BSSC) — a joint effort led by Azerbaijan, Georgia, Romania, Hungary, and supported by the European Union — is set to become a new backbone of transregional green energy cooperation.

At the core of this initiative lies a concrete vision for transregional energy connectivity. This ambitious project will directly link the South Caucasus and the EU via a 1,155 km high-voltage direct current (HVDC) cable — the first of its kind in the region. By transmitting renewable electricity from Azerbaijan and Georgia to Romania and Hungary, the BSSC not only strengthens Europe's energy resilience but also reshapes the geopolitical landscape of Eurasian energy.

Strategic Energy Bridge Between Regions

The BSSC is much more than a power transmission line. It represents a profound shift in the EU's energy posture toward its Eastern neighbors. By forging a direct physical connection between the EU and the South Caucasus, the project sidesteps traditional transit routes, diversifies supply chains, and enhances strategic autonomy. The project also includes a high-capacity fiber-optic link, enhancing digital connectivity across the region — another strategic pillar of the EU's Global Gateway strategy.

It is important to underline that the BSSC's future may extend well beyond the Black Sea. In May, 2024, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan signed a memorandum, marking a significant step towards interconnecting the energy grids of the three countries.[22] Kazakhstan's vast wind corridors and Uzbekistan's solar-rich deserts are seen as the next frontier of green electricity exports. At the EU–Central Asia Summit in April 2025, leaders endorsed the idea of expanding the Green Energy Corridor eastward to include Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Turkmenistan — countries with massive untapped solar and wind potential. Such an expansion could double or even triple renewable electricity flows into the EU in the coming decades.

The economic benefits of the BSSC project will be distributed among all participating countries. The project will support the green energy transition in Georgia and Azerbaijan and EU. To better understand the transformative impact of the BSSC, it is essential to examine how this project aligns with the energy objectives of the participating countries.[23]

For Georgia, the BSSC is a strategic milestone. With its abundant hydropower and pivotal location, the country is poised to become a clean energy hub for the wider region. The project will unlock the potential for large-scale balancing of intermittent solar and wind power, both domestically and for exports from neighboring Azerbaijan. Moreover, Georgia is also preparing to enter the green hydrogen market, aligning its

national hydrogen strategy with EU demand projections and the Green Energy Corridor's long-term vision. The BSSC thus enables Georgia to deepen its integration with the EU's energy market while boosting economic growth, energy transition and energy security.

Meanwhile, Azerbaijan is positioning itself as a dual-energy exporter: maintaining its role as a conventional crude oil and natural gas supplier while embracing the green energy transition. With vast offshore wind potential in the Caspian Sea and growing interest in hydrogen, Azerbaijan is leveraging the BSSC to reach new markets and diversify its energy portfolio. By enabling large-scale renewable electricity transmission to Europe, the project supports Azerbaijan's Energy Transition Strategy and helps to maintain its relevance as a regional energy exporter in a decarbonizing world.

Romania, the cable's primary landing point, becomes the principal gateway for Caspian green electricity into the European grid. This pivotal role not only elevates Romania's geopolitical relevance within the European Union but also directly supports its National Energy and Climate Plan, which prioritizes the expansion of cross-border interconnections and the integration of renewable energy sources. Hosting the corridor's headquarters will create jobs, attract capital, and elevate the country's geopolitical role in EU energy affairs.

Hungary views the BSSC as a critical component of its energy security strategy and diversification efforts. Hungary, which has long grappled with limited domestic resources and high dependence on Russian gas, sees the BSSC as a lifeline. The government has already committed to upgrading its internal grid to handle new inflows and is exploring additional storage and distribution infrastructure investments.

For the European Union as a whole, the BSSC serves multiple policy goals. It directly supports the European Green Deal and REPowerEU by unlocking access to clean, affordable energy from new sources. It also embodies the EU's broader strategic pivot toward energy partnerships beyond Russia. By tapping into the vast renewable energy potential of the Caspian region, the EU can advance its green transition, support its climate neutrality goals, and strengthen energy resilience. The European Commission has announced its intention to provide EUR 2.3 billion.

Moreover, Türkiye signed a historic agreement with its eastern neighbors Azerbaijan and Georgia and its western neighbor Bulgaria. The signed the Memorandum of Understanding on Cooperation in the Field of Green Electricity Transmission and Trade considers export of electricity generated from renewable energy sources such as solar and wind to Europe via the Azerbaijan-Georgia-Türkiye-Bulgaria route. This is a new strategic partnership to support green energy transition.[24] This is another energy cooperation platform between the Caspian and Black Sea regions to support green transition.

The Energy Transition and Contemporary Geopolitical Risks

The global transition toward renewable energy is fundamentally altering traditional energy geopolitics. Nations with abundant solar, wind, or hydroelectric potential are emerging as new energy powers, while traditional fossil fuel exporters face declining influence. The control of critical minerals required for renewable energy technologies such as lithium, cobalt, and rare earth elements is creating new forms of resource dependencies and geopolitical competition.

The 2022 Ukraine invasion exemplifies this shift, fundamentally accelerating European decoupling from Russian fossil fuels and expediting renewable energy deployment. The IEA's 2024 World Energy Outlook emphasizes that "regional conflicts and geopolitical strains are highlighting significant fragilities in today's global energy system, making clear the need for stronger policies and greater investments to accelerate and expand the transition to cleaner and more secure technologies."[25] The European Union's accelerated push toward climate neutrality by 2050, enshrined in the European Green Deal, created new strategic imperatives for regional actors.[26] The ongoing sanctions against Russia disrupted traditional energy flows and underscored the urgent need for diversification of both energy sources and transit routes.

The most striking manifestation of this energy transition's geopolitical implications can be observed in the Balkans and Black Sea region, where a profound energy metamorphosis is fundamentally reshaping decades-old strategic relationships. Projects like the Black Sea Submarine Cable[27] and "Green Energy Corridor" initiative[28] exemplify how renewable energy infrastructure is becoming the new backbone of international relations, fundamentally altering traditional power dynamics and creating novel forms of interdependence across regions.

However, while renewable energy systems offer greater domestic resource utilization and reduced import dependencies, they create new vulnerabilities requiring different security frameworks.

The transition to renewable energy has created unprecedented dependencies on critical minerals, fundamentally shifting geopolitical vulnerabilities from geographical energy dependencies to geological ones. The International Energy Agency's Global Critical Minerals Outlook 2025 warns that the global clean energy transition is under threat due to unreliable, unsustainable, and geopolitically risky supplies of critical minerals.[29] Demand for critical energy transition minerals like lithium, cobalt, and copper could increase almost fourfold by 2030.[30]

Notably, the Russia-Ukraine conflict has fundamentally transformed critical mineral geopolitics, serving as both a catalyst for Western diversification efforts and a stark illustration of how geopolitical instability can disrupt resource access. Ukraine possesses one of Europe's most substantial critical mineral endowments, including

significant deposits of lithium, titanium, rare earth elements, uranium, manganese, and iron ore. The country's mineral wealth, estimated to be worth over $14.8 trillion according to geological surveys, positions it as a potentially crucial alternative to Chinese-dominated supply chains.[31] However, the 2022 Russian invasion exposed the vulnerabilities inherent in resource dependencies, as approximately 40% of Ukraine's metal resources fell under Russian occupation, including two of the country's four major lithium reserves located in the eastern regions.[32]

The United States-Ukraine Reconstruction Investment Fund, signed on April 30, 2025, represents a landmark agreement that exemplifies how contemporary geopolitical crises are reshaping critical mineral partnerships and accelerating the reconfiguration of global resource flows.[33] This comprehensive deal emerged directly from the strategic imperatives created by the Ukraine conflict, demonstrating how geopolitical risks catalyze new forms of resource cooperation that transcend traditional commercial arrangements. The agreement established a fundamentally new model for international resource partnerships, wherein security considerations, reconstruction needs, and critical mineral security converge into integrated frameworks for cooperation. Moreover, the Ukraine minerals agreement reflects the Trump administration's broader approach to transactional mineral diplomacy, serving as a potential template for similar resource-for-security arrangements with other strategically important, mineral-rich countries.

Additionally, while renewable energy systems offer greater domestic resource utilization and reduced import dependencies, they also create new vulnerabilities requiring different security frameworks. Cyber-attacks on smart grid infrastructure, supply chain disruptions for critical components, and weather-related generation variability all present challenges distinct from traditional energy security concerns. The distributed nature of renewable energy systems creates both resilience advantages and security complications. While diversified generation reduces single points of failure, the complexity of managing multiple small-scale generation sources through sophisticated grid management systems creates new targets for cyber warfare and technical disruption.

Conclusion & Strategic Implications

The ongoing war in the Black Sea region changed the traditional energy map of Europe. The Black Sea regional countries used to have traditional high energy dependence on Russia. However, currently nations are going to diversify energy supplies and routes. The LNG sources, especially from USA and pipeline gas from Azerbaijan are seen as a valuable source for diversification process. Black Sea region and Caspian Sea region established viable energy producer and consumer dialogue, and critical energy infrastructure and connectivity projects implemented thanks to effective cooperation between regional states. It should be underlined that the reliable transit countries are crucial for long term energy security.

This policy analysis identifies the following major implications that require immediate and strategic policy attention to ensure a secure, resilient, and geopolitically adaptive energy system.

1. Energy security must be embedded within national security planning. The events triggered by the Russia-Ukraine war have shown that energy infrastructure is not just an economic asset but a strategic vulnerability. Pipelines, LNG terminals, and smart grid systems have become frontline targets in hybrid warfare. As energy systems become more digitized and decentralized, traditional security frameworks are no longer sufficient. Governments must integrate energy risk into their national defense strategies, ensuring that energy ministries, military institutions, and cyber defense units coordinate closely to detect, deter, and respond to both physical and cyber threats targeting energy assets. For instance, Romania started actively to support LNG terminals, and aims to become a hub between the LNG terminals in Southern Europe.

2. The global energy transition introduces a new layer of strategic dependencies. While reducing reliance on fossil fuels is a critical step toward long-term resilience and climate goals, the transition itself creates short- and medium-term exposure to new risks. Critical minerals essential for renewable energy technologies, such as lithium, cobalt, and rare earth elements, are often sourced from politically unstable or monopolized markets. Without deliberate diversification of supply chains, investment in domestic extraction and recycling capabilities, and stronger international resource governance, countries risk replacing one form of energy dependence with another. Policymakers must treat mineral security as a core component of energy policy - not a secondary concern.

3. Existing global energy governance mechanisms are not fit for purpose. Renewable energy systems demand new forms of cooperation: agreements on critical mineral standards, cross-border infrastructure security protocols, and shared investments in clean energy innovation. The EU's experience in rapidly scaling up LNG capacity and coordinating member state energy responses to the Ukraine crisis is instructive, but not sufficient. Global and regional institutions must evolve to match the complexity and urgency of the new energy geopolitics.

4. Lack of united energy and security strategy in the Black Sea region. It also affected by the Russia-Ukraine war, and the such situation creates vulnerabilities and challenge regional security and economic development. Thus, it is very important to coordinate strategic steps between regional states and developing a comprehensive approach to support long-term energy security and stability.

The ongoing tensions in the Black Sea region continue to undermine regional stability and hinder sustainable development and pose serious security challenges. As a strategically vital region , serving as a crossroads for energy routes, trade corridors, and geopolitical influence, the region is of growing importance to the EU. In response, the EU is developing a comprehensive approach that combines diplomatic engagement, enhanced security cooperation, and

targeted investments in resilience and connectivity. This initiative aims to enhance the region's resilience, strengthen cooperation with partner countries, and assert the EU's strategic interests in the face of growing geopolitical challenges. By reinforcing its presence and partnerships in the region, the EU seeks to assert its strategic interests and contribute to long-term peace and prosperity in the Black Sea region.

Last but not least, together, these implications underscore the need for a fundamentally new strategic approach to energy policy - one that treats energy not simply as a commodity, but as a central axis of national power, geopolitical competition, and technological sovereignty. The lessons of the past must inform a more integrated, future-focused energy strategy capable of withstanding both market shocks and geopolitical conflict.

Endnotes

[1] Rudolph, Joseph R., Oil embargo and energy crises of 1973 and 1979, 2025, Available at: https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/oil-embargo-and-energy-crises-1973-and-1979

[2] Gross S., "What Iran's 1979 revolution meant for US and global oil markets", *Brookings*, March 5, 2019, Available at: https://www.brookings.edu/articles/what-irans-1979-revolution-meant-for-us-and-global-oil-markets/

[3] Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, "Saudi Arabia, Russia, Iraq, UAE, Kuwait, Kazakhstan, Algeria, and Oman reaffirm commitment to market stability on healthier oil market outlook and adjust production upward", April, 2025, Available at: https://www.opec.org/pr-detail/557-03-april-2025.html

[4] Bloomberg.com, *Saudi Arabia Wants More Super-Size OPEC+ Hikes*, June 4, 2025, Available at:https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2025-06-04/saudi-arabia-wants-more-super-size-opec-hikes-in-push-for-oil-market-share

[5] Gross S., Stelzenmüller C., "Europe's messy Russian gas divorce", *Brookings*, June 18, 2024, Available at: https://www.brookings.edu/articles/europes-messy-russian-gas-divorce/

[6] Bruegel.org, *The European Union-Russia energy divorce: state of play*, February 22, 2024, Available at: https://www.bruegel.org/analysis/european-union-russia-energy-divorce-state-play

[7] Bruegel.org, *The European Union-Russia energy divorce: state of play*, February 22, 2024, Available at: https://www.bruegel.org/analysis/european-union-russia-energy-divorce-state-play

[8] Statista.com, *Leading commodities exported from Russia to the European Union (EU-27) in 2021*, by export value, June 11, 2024, Available at:https://www.statista.com/statistics/1102603/russia-value-exports-to-eu-by-commodity/

[9] Dw.com, *США ввели санкции против "Газпром нефти" и "Сургутнефтегаза"*, January 10, 2025, Available at: https://www.dw.com/ru/ssa-vveli-sankcii-protiv-gazprom-nefti-i-sur gutneftegaza/a-71269347

[10] Base.garant.ru, *Архив. Санкции и ограничения против России*, Available at: https://base.garant.ru/57750634/

[11] B1.ru, *Обзор новых санкций ЕС и США (декабрь 2024 – январь 2025)*, January 31, 2025, Available at: https://www.b1.ru/insights/law-messenger/sanctions-31-january-2025/

[12] Qafqazinfo.az, *27 стран ЕС согласовали продление санкций против РФ*, January 27, 2025, Available at: https://qafqazinfo.az/news/detailru/-41864

[13] Dw.com, *Reuters: Россия стала крупнейшим поставщиком нефти в Китай*, January 20, 2024, Available at: https://www.dw.com/ru/reuters-rossia-stala-krupnejsim-postavsikom-nefti-v-kitaj-ottesniv-saudovskuu-araviu/a-68040112

[14] Vedomosti.ru, *Россия наращивает экспорт энергоресурсов в Китай и Индию*, October 9, 2024, Available at: https://www.vedomosti.ru/analytics/trends/articles/2024/10/09/1067437-rossiya-naraschivaet-eksport-energoresursov-v-kitai-i-indiyu

[15] Neftegaz.ru, Bloomberg: *импорт российского СПГ во Францию достиг рекордного уровня*, November 19, 2024, Available at: https://neftegaz.ru/news/spg-szhizhennyy-prirodnyy-gaz/866728-bloomberg-import-rossiyskogo-spg-vo-frantsiyu-dostig-rekordnogo-urovnya/

[16] European Commission, “Liquefied natural gas”, 2024, Available at: https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/carbon-management-and-fossil-fuels/liquefied-natural-gas_en

[17] Institute for Energy Economics & Financial Analysis, “EU Gas Flows Tracker”, April, 2025, Available at: https://ieefa.org/eu-gas-flows-tracker#:~:text=Between%202021%20and%202024%2C %20EU,in%20strengthening%20its%20electricity%20grid.

[18] European Commission, “REPowerEU at a glance”, 2022, Available at: https://commission.europa.eu/topics/energy/repowereu_en

[19] European Commission, “Security of gas supply”, 2025, Available at: https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/energy-security/security-gas-supply_en#:~:text=Continued%20gas%20demand%20reductions&text=In%20March%202024%2C%20a%20Council,April%202017%20and%20March%202022.

[20] European Commission, EU and Azerbaijan enhance bilateral relations, including energy cooperation, 2022 available at; https://enlargement.ec.europa.eu/news/eu-and-azerbaijan-enhance-bilateral-relations-including-energy-cooperation-2022-07-18_en

[21] Euroactive, The news you possibly missed: TAP pipeline up and running , 2020 available at: https://www.euractiv.com/section/global-europe/opinion/the-news-you-possibly-missed-tap-pipeline-up-and-running/

[22] The Astana Times, Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, and Uzbekistan Sign Agreement on Energy Interconnection, 2024 available at https://astanatimes.com/2024/05/kazakhstan-azerbaijan-and-uzbekistan-sign-agreement-on-energy-interconnection/

[23] The Black Sea Submarine Cable (BSSC): A Strategic Bridge for Green Energy Integration Between the South Caucasus, Central Asia, and the European Union, 2025 available at: https://aircenter.az/uploads/vjNci18mOHzV.pdf

[24] Republic of Turkiye Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources, available at: https://enerji.gov.tr/news-detail?id=21476

[25] The International Energy Agency, “World Energy Outlook 2024”, October, 2024, Available at: https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2024

[26] European Commission, “The European Green Deal. Striving to be the first climate-neutral continent”, 2020, Available at: https://commission.europa.eu/strategy-and-policy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en

[27] Submarinenetworks.com, *Black Sea Submarine Cable (BSSC)*, 2024, Available at: https://www.submarinenetworks.com/en/systems/asia-europe-africa/bssc

[28] Eurasiareview.com, *Turkey–Bulgaria Green Energy Cooperation And The Strategic Role Of Azerbaijan*, May 13, 2025, Available at:https://www.eurasiareview.com/13052025-turkey-bulgaria-green-energy-cooperation-and-the-strategic-role-of-azerbaijan-analysis/

[29] Energyconnects.com, *IEA: fragile critical minerals supply chains threaten the energy transition*, May 21, 2025, Available at:https://www.energyconnects.com/opinion/features/2025/may /iea-fragile-critical-minerals-supply-chains-threaten-the-energy-transition/

[30] UN Trade and Development, “Critical minerals boom: Global energy shift brings opportunities and risks for developing countries”, April 26, 2024, Available at: https://unctad.org/news/critical-minerals-boom-global-energy-shift-brings-opportunities-and-risks-developing-countries

[31] Elements.visualcapitalist.com, *Mapped: Ukraine’s Mineral Resources*, February 28, 2025, Available at: https://elements.visualcapitalist.com/mapped-ukraines-mineral-resources/

[32] Aljazeera.com, Mapping Ukraine's rare earth and critical minerals, February 28, 2025, Available at: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2025/2/28/mapping-ukraines-rare-earth-and-critical-minerals

[33] The U.S. Department of the Treasury, "Treasury Announces Agreement to Establish United States-Ukraine Reconstruction Investment Fund", April 30, 2025, Available at: https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases/sb0126

Shahmar Hajiyev

Shahmar Hajiyev is Head of Department at the Center for Analysis of International Relations (AIR Center). His areas of expertise include global politics, economics, intelligence analysis and forecasting. Before joining AIR Center, he worked at the State Oil Company of Azerbaijan (SOCAR) and at the Center for Strategic Studies under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan (SAM). He participated in the Analyst and Business Development Program of US-based STRATFOR, (Strategic Forecasting Inc.), and also worked as an individual consultant of the Asian Development Bank (ADB).

In addition, Mr. Hajiyev is Vice-Chairman Azerbaijan-China Cooperation Public Union. He published numerous articles on politics, economics, energy security issues, and connectivity. He holds MA in International Economic and Political Studies from Charles University in Prague.

SecureBlackSea

The Black Sea region has long been a focal point of geopolitical competition, shaped by historical rivalries, strategic interests, and evolving security dynamics. In recent years, the region has witnessed growing instability due to escalating tensions, hybrid threats, and the ongoing war between Russia and Ukraine. These developments have not only disrupted regional security but have also posed broader challenges to the European and transatlantic security order. Given NATO's strategic interest in the region, a comprehensive reassessment of security frameworks is necessary to address emerging threats and enhance regional stability.

SecureBlackSea seeks to examine and propose a future security architecture for the wider Black Sea region, aligning with NATO's evolving strategic priorities. Through an in-depth analysis of existing security structures, regional conflicts, and cooperation mechanisms, it aims to provide evidence-based insights into key threats and potential policy responses. A particular focus will be placed on the intersection of conventional military threats, hybrid warfare, economic security, and geopolitical rivalries, recognizing the complex and multi-dimensional nature of regional security challenges.

The project activities include expert workshops, field research, and data-driven assessments. It will evaluate the effectiveness of existing regional security frameworks and NATO's role in shaping stability in the region. In collaboration with policymakers, security experts, and academic institutions, the project team will facilitate policy dialogues and strategic foresight discussions to identify pathways for strengthening regional security cooperation. These efforts will result in the development of comprehensive policy recommendations aimed at enhancing institutional resilience and fostering a more cooperative security environment.

The expected outcomes of this initiative include a thorough assessment of regional security threats, a set of actionable policy recommendations, and strengthened dialogue between NATO and regional stakeholders. By producing analytical reports and policy briefs, the project will contribute to an informed security discourse and provide practical solutions for mitigating risks in the region. By fostering collaboration between academic and policy communities, it will support long-term strategic planning and resilience-building efforts.

SecureBlackSea aspires to provide a timely and in-depth examination of the evolving security landscape in the Black Sea region. It will offer insights that can guide NATO's strategic engagement in the region. Thus, it aims to contribute to a more stable, secure, and cooperative Black Sea security environment in the face of emerging geopolitical complexities.

Global Academy

ΙΔΙΣ
ΙΝΣΤΙΤΟΥΤΟ ΔΙΕΘΝΩΝ ΣΧΕΣΕΩΝ

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR PEACE

ODTÜ METU

İSTANBUL NİŞANTAŞI UNIVERSITY NEW

NATO OTAN

This project is supported by: The NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme